

Large-Time Behaviour of Solutions to Quasilinear Parabolic Equations on a Half-Line

Lukáš Poul

Charles University, Prague

Forschungsseminar
Funktionalanalysis und Differentialgleichungen
Ulm, 20.7.2005

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Statement of the Problem
 - Main Result
 - Strategy of the Proof
 - Preliminaries

Outline

1 Introduction

- Statement of the Problem
- Main Result
- Strategy of the Proof
- Preliminaries

2 Bounded Solutions Bounded Away From Zero

- Solutions Converging to Zero Form an Open Set
- Concentrated Compactness
- Solutions Diverging to Infinity Form an Open Set

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Statement of the Problem
 - Main Result
 - Strategy of the Proof
 - Preliminaries
- 2 Bounded Solutions Bounded Away From Zero
 - Solutions Converging to Zero Form an Open Set
 - Concentrated Compactness
 - Solutions Diverging to Infinity Form an Open Set
- 3 Proof of the Main Result

Problem

Consider u is a solution to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t - F(u_x)_x + h(u) &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(t, 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) &= 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (EP)$$

Problem

Consider u is a solution to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t - F(u_x)_x + h(u) &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(t, 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) &= 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (EP)$$

Questions

What happens as $t \rightarrow \infty$?

Problem

Consider u is a solution to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t - F(u_x)_x + h(u) &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(t, 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) &= 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (EP)$$

Questions

What happens as $t \rightarrow \infty$?

- Does $u(t) \rightarrow 0$?

Problem

Consider u is a solution to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t - F(u_x)_x + h(u) &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(t, 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) &= 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (EP)$$

Questions

What happens as $t \rightarrow \infty$?

- Does $u(t) \rightarrow 0$?
- Does $\|u(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$?

Problem

Consider u is a solution to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u_t - F(u_x)_x + h(u) &= 0, & (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \\ u(t, 0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) &= 0, & t \geq 0 \\ u(0, x) &= u_0(x), & x \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (EP)$$

Questions

What happens as $t \rightarrow \infty$?

- Does $u(t) \rightarrow 0$?
- Does $\|u(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$?
- What other phenomena can occur?

History

Convergence results

- Zelenyak
- Matano

Non-convergence results

History

Convergence results

- Zelenyak
- Matano
- Feireisl, Petzeltová

Non-convergence results

History

Convergence results

- Zelenyak
- Matano
- Feireisl, Petzeltová

Non-convergence results

- Feireisl, Fašangová

Theorem (Main Result)

Assume that the following hold:

- $h'(0) > 0, h(0) = 0$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0$

Theorem (Main Result)

Assume that the following hold:

- $h'(0) > 0, h(0) = 0$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0$
- $0 < \mu \leq F'(x), x \in \mathbb{R},$
- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), h \in C^1[0, \infty).$

Theorem (Main Result)

Assume that the following hold:

- $h'(0) > 0, h(0) = 0$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0$
- $0 < \mu \leq F'(x), x \in \mathbb{R},$
- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), h \in C^1[0, \infty).$

Then there exists

- u – *solution of the evolutionary problem,*

Theorem (Main Result)

Assume that the following hold:

- $h'(0) > 0, h(0) = 0$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0$
- $0 < \mu \leq F'(x), x \in \mathbb{R},$
- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), h \in C^1[0, \infty).$

Then there exists

- u – solution of the evolutionary problem,
- $y : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, y(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty,$

Theorem (Main Result)

Assume that the following hold:

- $h'(0) > 0, h(0) = 0$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0$
- $0 < \mu \leq F'(x), x \in \mathbb{R},$
- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), h \in C^1[0, \infty).$

Then there exists

- u – solution of the evolutionary problem,
- $y : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, y(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty,$
- $0 \neq w_g \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ solution to $-F(w_x)_x + h(w) = 0$ on \mathbb{R}

such that

Theorem (Main Result)

Assume that the following hold:

- $h'(0) > 0, h(0) = 0$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(s)ds \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0$
- $0 < \mu \leq F'(x), x \in \mathbb{R},$
- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), h \in C^1[0, \infty).$

Then there exists

- u – solution of the evolutionary problem,
- $y : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, y(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty,$
- $0 \neq w_g \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R})$ solution to $-F(w_x)_x + h(w) = 0$ on \mathbb{R}

such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - w_g(\cdot - y(t))\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 0$$

Simple facts. . .

- Set of nonnegative initial conditions is *connected*.

Simple facts. . .

- Set of nonnegative initial conditions is *connected*.
- Solutions tending to zero form an open set.

Simple facts. . .

- Set of nonnegative initial conditions is *connected*.
- Solutions tending to zero form an open set.
- Solutions with blow-up form an open set.

Simple facts. . .

- Set of nonnegative initial conditions is *connected*.
- Solutions tending to zero form an open set.
- Solutions with blow-up form an open set.
- There exists a bounded solution bounded away from zero.

Simple facts. . .

- Set of nonnegative initial conditions is *connected*.
- Solutions tending to zero form an open set.
- Solutions with blow-up form an open set.
- There exists a bounded solution bounded away from zero.
- Apply Concentrated Compactness.

Assumptions and Notation

Basic Assumptions

- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), 0 < \mu \leq F'(\cdot),$
- $h \in C^1[0, \infty), h(0) = 0, h'(0) > 0,$
- $H(t) := \int_0^t h(s) ds$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : H(t) \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0.$

Assumptions and Notation

Basic Assumptions

- $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), 0 < \mu \leq F'(\cdot),$
- $h \in C^1[0, \infty), h(0) = 0, h'(0) > 0,$
- $H(t) := \int_0^t h(s) ds$
- $\zeta_0 := \inf\{t > 0 : H(t) \leq 0\} > 0, h(\zeta_0) < 0.$

Additional Assumptions

- $F(0) = 0, 0 < \underline{\mu} \leq F'(\cdot) \leq \bar{\mu}$
- $h(v) \geq 0, \quad v \geq s_0$

General Existence Results

Theorem (Existence of Solutions)

The problem (EP) admits for any initial datum $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ a unique solution u belonging to

$$L^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^2((0, T); W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)), \quad 0 < T < \infty.$$

Moreover, u_t, u_{xx} are Hölder continuous at any point $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ and u belongs to

$$C([0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cap C^1((0, \infty); L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}((0, \infty); W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+))$$

General Existence Results

Theorem (Existence of Energy)

The problem (EP) admits an energy functional

$$E(v) = \int_0^\infty (I(v_x) + H(v))dx, \quad v \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

where $I(t) = \int_0^t F(s)ds$.

The energy functional is non-increasing along any trajectory and the mapping

$$t \mapsto E(u(t))$$

is continuously differentiable at any time $t > 0$ with

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(u(t)) = -\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}^2$$

Continuous Dependence on Initial Data

Theorem

Consider the mapping

$$\Phi_t : u_0 \mapsto u(t), \quad t \geq 0$$

where u is a solution to the problem (EP).

Then for any $t > 0$, Φ_t is continuous as a mapping

$$\Phi_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap \{u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+\} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Stationary Solution on \mathbb{R}

Theorem (Ground State Solutions)

There exists a unique solution w_g of the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -F(w_x)_x + h(w) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R} \\ w(0) &= \max\{w(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}\} > 0 \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w(x) &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} (SP)$$

Moreover, the following hold

$$\begin{aligned} w_g(0) &= \zeta_0 \\ w_g(\cdot) &> 0 \\ w'_g(-x) &> 0, \quad w'_g(x) < 0, \quad x > 0 \\ w_g &\in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Shifted Stationary Solution on \mathbb{R}

Theorem

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there exists a unique solution w to the problem

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -F(w_x)_x + h(w) &= 0 && \text{on } \mathbb{R} \\ w(0) &= \zeta_0 + \varepsilon \\ w_x(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \text{(SSP)}$$

Moreover, there exist constants

$$-\infty < L_- < 0 < L_+ < \infty$$

such that

$$w(L_-) = 0 = w(L_+).$$

Stability of the Zero Solution

Lemma

The zero solution of (EP) is locally asymptotically stable. This means that there exists U – a $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ neighbourhood of $w_0 \equiv 0$ in the set of nonnegative functions such that for any $u_0 \in U$ and the corresponding solution u we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 0$$

Stability of the Zero Solution

Lemma

The zero solution of (EP) is locally asymptotically stable. This means that there exists $U - a L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ neighbourhood of $w_0 \equiv 0$ in the set of nonnegative functions such that for any $u_0 \in U$ and the corresponding solution u we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 0$$

Theorem

The set of nonnegative initial conditions for which solutions converge to zero form an open set in the set of nonnegative functions with respect to the $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ topology.

Number of Solution's Humps

Theorem (One-Hump Solutions)

Let u_0 be a nonnegative initial condition for u , such that

- on $[0, \gamma]$ is u_0 nondecreasing,
- on $[\gamma, \infty)$ is u_0 non-increasing.

Then for any $t > 0$ there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $u(t)$ does so.

Consequently, $\{x > 0 : u(t, x) > \alpha\}$ is an interval for any $t, \alpha > 0$.

Number of Solution's Humps

Theorem (One-Hump Solutions)

Let u_0 be a nonnegative initial condition for u , such that

- on $[0, \gamma]$ is u_0 nondecreasing,
- on $[\gamma, \infty)$ is u_0 non-increasing.

Then for any $t > 0$ there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $u(t)$ does so.

Consequently, $\{x > 0 : u(t, x) > \alpha\}$ is an interval for any $t, \alpha > 0$.

Proof.

- 1 Mollify F and $h \rightsquigarrow u^\delta$.

Number of Solution's Humps

Theorem (One-Hump Solutions)

Let u_0 be a nonnegative initial condition for u , such that

- on $[0, \gamma]$ is u_0 nondecreasing,
- on $[\gamma, \infty)$ is u_0 non-increasing.

Then for any $t > 0$ there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $u(t)$ does so.

Consequently, $\{x > 0 : u(t, x) > \alpha\}$ is an interval for any $t, \alpha > 0$.

Proof.

- 1 Mollify F and $h \rightsquigarrow u^\delta$.
- 2 Apply the zero number property to u_x^δ .

Number of Solution's Humps

Theorem (One-Hump Solutions)

Let u_0 be a nonnegative initial condition for u , such that

- on $[0, \gamma]$ is u_0 nondecreasing,
- on $[\gamma, \infty)$ is u_0 non-increasing.

Then for any $t > 0$ there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $u(t)$ does so.

Consequently, $\{x > 0 : u(t, x) > \alpha\}$ is an interval for any $t, \alpha > 0$.

Proof.

- 1 Mollify F and $h \rightsquigarrow u^\delta$.
- 2 Apply the zero number property to u_x^δ .
- 3 Apply $u^{\delta_n} \rightarrow u$ for $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$.



Concentrated Compactness

Theorem (Concentrated Compactness)

Let u_0 be nonnegative nondecreasing on $[0, \gamma_0]$ and non-increasing on $[\gamma_0, \infty)$. Let $u^n = u(t_n)$ be a sequence such that $\|u^n\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to n . Then either

Concentrated Compactness

Theorem (Concentrated Compactness)

Let u_0 be nonnegative nondecreasing on $[0, \gamma_0]$ and non-increasing on $[\gamma_0, \infty)$. Let $u^n = u(t_n)$ be a sequence such that $\|u^n\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to n . Then either

- $u(t)$ converges to zero in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, or

Concentrated Compactness

Theorem (Concentrated Compactness)

Let u_0 be nonnegative nondecreasing on $[0, \gamma_0]$ and non-increasing on $[\gamma_0, \infty)$. Let $u^n = u(t_n)$ be a sequence such that $\|u^n\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ is uniformly bounded with respect to n . Then either

- $u(t)$ converges to zero in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, or
- there exists a function y , $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - w_g(\cdot - y(t))\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 0.$$

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2 $\{\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{t \geq 1}$ is bounded $\rightsquigarrow u(\tau_n) \rightarrow$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2 $\{\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{t \geq 1}$ is bounded $\rightsquigarrow u(\tau_n) \rightarrow$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 3 For any $Q \subset\subset [0, \infty)$ we have $u(\tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,2}(Q)$.

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2 $\{\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{t \geq 1}$ is bounded $\rightsquigarrow u(\tau_n) \rightarrow$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 3 For any $Q \subset\subset [0, \infty)$ we have $u(\tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,2}(Q)$.
- 4 Denote $y^n := u_x(\tau_n, \cdot)^{-1}(0)$, investigate $v^n = u(\tau_n, \cdot + y^n)$.

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2 $\{\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{t \geq 1}$ is bounded $\rightsquigarrow u(\tau_n) \rightarrow$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 3 For any $Q \subset\subset [0, \infty)$ we have $u(\tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,2}(Q)$.
- 4 Denote $y^n := u_x(\tau_n, \cdot)^{-1}(0)$, investigate $v^n = u(\tau_n, \cdot + y^n)$.
- 5 For $v^n(0) \not\rightarrow 0$ we obtain $v^n \rightarrow w_g$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2 $\{\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{t \geq 1}$ is bounded $\rightsquigarrow u(\tau_n) \rightarrow$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 3 For any $Q \subset\subset [0, \infty)$ we have $u(\tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,2}(Q)$.
- 4 Denote $y^n := u_x(\tau_n, \cdot)^{-1}(0)$, investigate $v^n = u(\tau_n, \cdot + y^n)$.
- 5 For $v^n(0) \not\rightarrow 0$ we obtain $v^n \rightarrow w_g$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 6 If $\|u(s_n)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow \infty$ then there exists σ_n such that $\|u(\sigma_n)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 2\|w_g\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ is bounded.

Proof of Concentrated Compactness Theorem

Proof.

- 1 Energy boundedness implies $\|u_t(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- 2 $\{\|u(t)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}\}_{t \geq 1}$ is bounded $\rightsquigarrow u(\tau_n) \rightarrow$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 3 For any $Q \subset\subset [0, \infty)$ we have $u(\tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^{2,2}(Q)$.
- 4 Denote $y^n := u_x(\tau_n, \cdot)^{-1}(0)$, investigate $v^n = u(\tau_n, \cdot + y^n)$.
- 5 For $v^n(0) \not\rightarrow 0$ we obtain $v^n \rightarrow w_g$ in $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- 6 If $\|u(s_n)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow \infty$ then there exists σ_n such that $\|u(\sigma_n)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 2\|w_g\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ is bounded.
- 7 Any y^n such that $\|u(t_n) - w_g(\cdot - y^n)\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} \rightarrow 0$ must diverge to ∞ .



Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists $L > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on some interval of length L , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists $L > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on some interval of length L , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Proof.

- 1 Let $I = [a, b]$ be the considered interval, denote $x_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$.

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists $L > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on some interval of length L , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Proof.

- 1 Let $I = [a, b]$ be the considered interval, denote $x_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$.
- 2 Estimate $u_0 \geq w$ where w solves $-F(w_x)_x + h(w) = 0$ with $w(x_0) = \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ and $w_x(x_0) = 0$.

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists $L > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on some interval of length L , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Proof.

- 1 Let $I = [a, b]$ be the considered interval, denote $x_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$.
- 2 Estimate $u_0 \geq w$ where w solves $-F(w_x)_x + h(w) = 0$ with $w(x_0) = \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ and $w_x(x_0) = 0$.
- 3 Compare u and w as solutions on interval I : $u(t) \geq w, t \geq 0$.

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small. Then there exists $L > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on some interval of length L , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Proof.

- 1 Let $I = [a, b]$ be the considered interval, denote $x_0 = \frac{a+b}{2}$.
- 2 Estimate $u_0 \geq w$ where w solves $-F(w_x)_x + h(w) = 0$ with $w(x_0) = \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ and $w_x(x_0) = 0$.
- 3 Compare u and w as solutions on interval I : $u(t) \geq w, t \geq 0$.
- 4 $\sup_{x>0} w_g(x) = \zeta_0 < \zeta_0 + \varepsilon = \sup_{x \in I} w(x) \leq \sup_{x>0} u(t, x)$ for any $t \geq 0$. □

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there exists $L' > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 - \varepsilon$ on an interval of length L' , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there exists $L' > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 - \varepsilon$ on an interval of length L' , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Proof.

- 1 Show that for any $L > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ there is $L' > 0$ such that if $u_0 = (\zeta_0 - \varepsilon)\chi_{[a,b]}$, $|b - a| \geq L'$, then for some $t_0 > 0$ it holds $u(t_0, \cdot) \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on interval of length L .

Conditions for $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ Divergence

Lemma

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small there exists $L' > 0$ such that if $u_0 \geq \zeta_0 - \varepsilon$ on an interval of length L' , then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = \infty.$$

Proof.

- 1 Show that for any $L > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ there is $L' > 0$ such that if $u_0 = (\zeta_0 - \varepsilon)\chi_{[a,b]}$, $|b - a| \geq L'$, then for some $t_0 > 0$ it holds $u(t_0, \cdot) \geq \zeta_0 + \varepsilon$ on interval of length L .
- 2 Compare $u(t)$ to the solution of $v'(t) + h(v(t))$, $v(0) = \zeta_0 - \varepsilon$ and estimate the difference in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, e^{-|x-c|})$, $c = \frac{a+b}{2}$.



Solutions Diverging to Infinity Form an Open Set

Theorem

The set of nonnegative initial conditions for which solution diverges in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to infinity is open in the set of measurable nonnegative functions endowed with the $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ topology.

Solutions Diverging to Infinity Form an Open Set

Theorem

The set of nonnegative initial conditions for which solution diverges in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to infinity is open in the set of measurable nonnegative functions endowed with the $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ topology.

Proof.

- ① Let $\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ diverge. For $J(t) = \{x : u(t, x) > \zeta_0 - \varepsilon\}$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |J(t)| = \infty.$$

Solutions Diverging to Infinity Form an Open Set

Theorem

The set of nonnegative initial conditions for which solution diverges in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ to infinity is open in the set of measurable nonnegative functions endowed with the $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ topology.

Proof.

- 1 Let $\|u(t)\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)}$ diverge. For $J(t) = \{x : u(t, x) > \zeta_0 - \varepsilon\}$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |J(t)| = \infty.$$

- 2 Use continuous dependence on initial data for initial condition v_0 near u_0 in $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ -norm. □

Put Away Additional Assumptions

Proof.

① $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |w'_g(x)|$ does not depend on $\bar{\mu}$.

Put Away Additional Assumptions

Proof.

- 1 $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |w'_g(x)|$ does not depend on $\bar{\mu}$.
- 2 Modify F and h outside interval $[-\|w_g\|_{C^1} - 1, \|w_g\|_{C^1} + 1]$ to satisfy the additional assumptions.

Put Away Additional Assumptions

Proof.

- 1 $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |w'_g(x)|$ does not depend on $\bar{\mu}$.
- 2 Modify F and h outside interval $[-\|w_g\|_{C^1} - 1, \|w_g\|_{C^1} + 1]$ to satisfy the additional assumptions.
- 3 We obtain existence of a solution u from Main Result.

Put Away Additional Assumptions

Proof.

- 1 $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |w'_g(x)|$ does not depend on $\bar{\mu}$.
- 2 Modify F and h outside interval $[-\|w_g\|_{C^1} - 1, \|w_g\|_{C^1} + 1]$ to satisfy the additional assumptions.
- 3 We obtain existence of a solution u from Main Result.
- 4 As $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - w_g(\cdot - y(t))\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 0$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|u(t) - w_g(\cdot - y(t))\|_{C^1} < 1, t \geq t_0$.

Put Away Additional Assumptions

Proof.

- 1 $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |w'_g(x)|$ does not depend on $\bar{\mu}$.
- 2 Modify F and h outside interval $[-\|w_g\|_{C^1} - 1, \|w_g\|_{C^1} + 1]$ to satisfy the additional assumptions.
- 3 We obtain existence of a solution u from Main Result.
- 4 As $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t) - w_g(\cdot - y(t))\|_{W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 0$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|u(t) - w_g(\cdot - y(t))\|_{C^1} < 1, t \geq t_0$.
- 5 Take solution \tilde{u} to (EP) with $\tilde{u}(0) = u(t_0)$.



Topics for Improvement

- Replace $F(u_x)_x$ with $\Delta_p(u) = (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x$.

Topics for Improvement

- Replace $F(u_x)_x$ with $\Delta_p(u) = (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x$.
- Does the solution for any sufficiently large nonnegative initial condition diverge?

Topics for Improvement

- Replace $F(u_x)_x$ with $\Delta_p(u) = (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x$.
- Does the solution for any sufficiently large nonnegative initial condition diverge?
- Domains in higher dimensions.

That's All...

Thank you for your attention.